Between January 1<sup>st</sup> and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2009, the OPCC has concluded **960** allegations of police misconduct. The following provides a summary of the results • • •

## Between January 1<sup>st</sup> and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2009,

960 individual allegations of police misconduct were reviewed and concluded as follows:

Total # of Allegations Concluded	Withdrawn	Reviewed & Closed	Informally Resolved	Mediated	Summarily Dismissed	Not Substantiated	Substantiated
960	79	101*	67	8	170	438	97

Withdrawn

A Complainant may withdraw his/her complaint at any time in the process; however the PCC may direct that the investigation continue if the allegations were of a serious nature

that the investigation continue if the allegations were of a serious nature

\* This figure represents allegations reviewed by the OPCC with respect to Service and Policy complaints (concerns regarding the services provided by a police department). Upon receipt of the final response by the police board the OPCC reviews and closes the file. As well, informal complaints that are received (Non-Lodged files) or information received that may result in a Police Act matter (Monitor files) are reviewed and closed by the OPCC where it is determined there are no formal allegations of police misconduct identified. The PCC may order an investigation only if the allegations are of a serious nature

and there is sufficient information upon which to launch an investigation.

**Informally Resolved**A complaint may be informally resolved facilitated through the investigator. Both parties must sign a Consent Letter outlining the agreement and both parties have 10 days in which to change their mind.

Mediated

A complaint may be resolved through mediation, facilitated by a professional mediator. Both parties must sign a Consent Letter outlining the agreement and both parties have 10 days in which to change

their mind.

**Summarily Dismissed** Following a preliminary review, a complaint may be summarily dismissed if: there is no likelihood further

investigation would produce evidence of a default; the incident occurred more than 12 months prior to

filing the complaint; or the complaint is frivolous or vexatious.

**Not Substantiated** Following an investigation that may take up to 6 months, the Discipline Authority determines there is no

evidence to support the allegation of misconduct.

**Substantiated** Following an investigation that may take up to 6 months, the Discipline Authority determines the

allegation is supported by the evidence. The Discipline Authority must then decide on appropriate disciplinary and/or corrective measures to impose. The Discipline Authority may also determine that

while the allegation is substantiated, that no disciplinary or corrective measures are required.

The BC Code of Professional Conduct Regulation provides a guide for police officers to ensure they perform their duties in a manner that is fair, impartial and effective to the communities and citizens they serve. The Code also ensures that public confidence in police is maintained by holding police accountable to the public in a way that is fair to police officers and to members of the public.

The Code of Professional Conduct Regulation allows the Discipline Authority to impose one or more of the following corrective or disciplinary measures if an allegation of misconduct is proven:

Verbal Reprimand

Written Reprimand

Direction to undertake professional counseling

Direction to undertake special training or retraining

Direction to work under close supervision

Suspension without pay for up to 5 working days

Transfer or re-assignment

Reduction in rank

Dismissal

Between January 1<sup>st</sup> and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2009, 97 allegations were substantiated. The following is a summary of the allegation(s) and the measures imposed.

**NOTE:** A complaint received from a citizen *may* contain many allegations and *may* involve more than one police officer. The following table provides a description of the allegation and the corrective and/or disciplinary default imposed by the Discipline Authority and does not reflect the number of respondent officers involved. Therefore there are only 64 summaries for the 97 substantiated allegations.

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
1	Following a stolen auto pursuit, the officer kicked the suspect in the face while the suspect was on the ground, handcuffed.  (Convicted of assault – conditional discharge and 1 year probation)  Default: Abuse of Authority  Ordered External Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul> <li>5-day Suspension without pay</li> <li>18-month reduction in rank (demotion to 3<sup>rd</sup> Class Constable)</li> <li>Reassignment to position deemed suitable by Chief Constable</li> <li>Psychological counseling for 18 months</li> </ul>
2	The officer had spoken the victim of an alleged sexual assault in an oppressive and inappropriate manner.  Default: Discreditable Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	No Disciplinary or Corrective Measures imposed  (Officer had been transferred from the section prior to the conclusion of the proceedings)
3	While on-duty, it was alleged that the officer sexually assaulted the Complainant.  (Criminal charges not approved)  Defaults: Neglect of Duty Deceit Improper Off-Duty Conduct (previous harassment of Complainant) Discreditable Conduct Corrupt Practice	Officer resigned prior to the conclusion of the discipline proceedings

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
4	In three separate court proceedings, it was determined the officer's testimony, conduct and lack of preparation resulted in serious credibility issues and fell below the department's standards.  Default: Discreditable Conduct (x2)  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	1-Day & 2-Day Suspensions without pay Reassignment of duties (including any overtime duties) to a position that precludes the officer having to provide testimony for a period of 2 years to be followed by a review by the Chief Constable.
5	The officer failed to properly handle and document found property (a ring).  Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul> <li>Managerial Direction</li> <li>Additional training on PRIME (as it relates to processing property)</li> <li>Order to review existing departmental policy</li> </ul>
6	The officer had conducted unauthorized searches on PRIME and CPIC and divulged personal information to outside agencies for a purpose unrelated to his/her duties as a police officer.  Default: Corrupt Practice	✓ 1-Day Suspension without pay
7	While off-duty, the officer had entered the department's locker room and without authorization removed a firearm and ammunition of another member.  Defaults: Improper Use & Care of Firearm Improper Off-Duty Conduct  Investigation initiated by Department	<ul> <li>Direction to under counseling (to the satisfaction of the Board that the officer is fit to return to duties)</li> <li>Once returned, the officer is to work under close supervision.</li> </ul>
8	The officer used profanity and unnecessary force on a prisoner (choke hold and 2 knee strikes to the Complainant's rib area), resulting in a fractured rib.  Default: Abuse of Authority (x2)	<ul> <li>2-Day Suspension without pay</li> <li>Direction to review appropriate Use of Force techniques with the department's Use of Force instructor.</li> <li>(The officer had already completed a communications training course prior to the conclusion of the proceedings)</li> </ul>

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
9	The officer's behaviour towards the Complainant at his/her place of work was inappropriate and unprofessional.  Default: Discreditable Conduct	Verbal Reprimand
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
10	The officers were investigating a report of illegal possession of firearms, and while attempting to render one of the firearms safe for transport, there was an accidental discharge of the rifle. The discharge was not reported in the General Occurrence Report.	<ul> <li>1-Day Suspension without pay</li> <li>Direction to review regulations and policy regarding seized property</li> <li>1-Day Suspension without pay</li> <li>Direction to undertake remedial firearms course</li> </ul>
	Default: Discreditable Conduct  Neglect of Duty  Improper Use & Care of Firearm  Deceit	<ul> <li>2-Day Suspension without pay (with respect to the failure to report the incident)</li> </ul>
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
11	A brief foot chase occurred during which the Complainant had dropped his backpack. Later while the Complainant was arrested and handcuffed, the Respondent officer struck the Complainant in the stomach area twice with the backpack.	<ul> <li>Managerial Direction</li> <li>(The officer had also agreed to speak with a professional counselor)</li> </ul>
	Default: Abuse of Authority	
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
12	Improper and inadequate reporting of an incident.  Default: Neglect of Duty	<ul> <li>Managerial Direction</li> <li>Direction to undertake PRIME training with respect to reporting requirements</li> </ul>
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
13	The officer, following a traffic stop and the issuing of a ticket, then without lawful authority had the Complainant's vehicle towed.	Direction to Undertake Additional Training with respect to powers of arrest and towing of vehicles.
	Default: Abuse of Authority	

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
14	The officer investigating a report of fraud failed to submit the Report to Crown Counsel within the 6-month time period, resulting in no charges proceeding.	✓ Verbal Reprimand
	Default: Neglect of Duty	
15	The officer attempted to cover an infant breastfeeding with a blanket or a newspaper. The Complainant alleged her personal space and rights were violated.	Advice as to future conduct
	Default: Abuse of Authority	
16	The officers had detained the Complainant without lawful authority.	<ul> <li>Verbal Reprimand</li> </ul>
	Default: Abuse of Authority	
17	While off-duty, the officer used profane language and attempted to gain preferential treatment by showing his police identification during a traffic stop.	<ul><li>Written Reprimand</li><li>Letter of apology</li></ul>
	Default: Improper Off-Duty Conduct	
	Investigation initiated by Department	
18	While training at the range, the officer accidentally discharged his/her firearm.	✓ Verbal Reprimand
	Default: Improper Use & Care of Firearm	
	Internal Discipline	
19	Within a short period of time, the officer had been involved in three minor motor vehicle accidents while driving a police vehicle.	Written Reprimand
	Default: Damage to Police Property	
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
20	The officer had conducted an unauthorized search police databases regarding a family member.	Written Reprimand
	Default: Improper Disclosure of Information	
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
	U	

#	Substantiated Allegation		Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
21	The officer had handcuffed the Complainant although he posed not threat to the officer, and unlawfully searched the Complainant's vehicle.	•	Advice as to future conduct Direction to update legal training with respect to search and detention
	Default: Abuse of Authority		
22	The officer used a sarcastic tone while dealing with the Complainant.	•	Managerial Direction
	Default: Abuse of Authority		
23	The officer conducted an unauthorized search of police databases.	•	Managerial Direction
	Default: Discreditable Conduct		
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department		
24	During an investigation, the officer located one gram of marihuana and disposed of it by crushing it on the ground at the scene.	•	Managerial Direction
	Default: Neglect of Duty		
25	The officer made inappropriate taunting comments to the Complainant, who was being transported to the hospital after being apprehended under the <i>Mental Health Act</i> .	•	Advice as to future conduct
	Default: Discreditable Conduct		
26	The officer had without authority removed an exhibit from a crime scene during the execution of a search warrant.	•	Verbal Reprimand Direction to review departmental policy regarding the proper seizure of property
	Default: Neglect of Duty		
	Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department		
	<u> </u>		

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
27	On two separate occasions, the officer attempted to use his/her position as a police officer to gain preferential treatment during a traffic stop.  Default: Improper Off-Duty Conduct Investigation initiated by Department	<ul> <li>Written Reprimand</li> <li>Written apology (2)</li> <li>Direction to Undertake professional counseling and is only permitted back to work until the board is satisfied the officer is fit for duty</li> <li>When the officer does return to work, to work under close supervision and in a position deeme suitable by the Chief Constable.</li> </ul>
28	While off-duty, the officer sprayed a person with bear spray.  (Convicted of assault – Conditional discharge & 1 year probation)  The Respondent also wrote a letter to the arresting officer that was deemed inappropriate.  Default: Improper Off-Duty Conduct (x2)  Investigation initiated by Department	<ul> <li>1 day Suspension without Pay</li> <li>Written Reprimand</li> </ul>
29	The officers failed to provide duty reports when ordered to do by the Professional Standards investigator.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered External Investigation by OPCC	No disciplinary or corrective measures warrante
30	The officer made comments and sent text messages to a supervisor that was inappropriate.  Internal Discipline	Written Reprimand
31	The respondent acted in an intimidating and unprofessional manner towards a fellow officer.  Internal Discipline	Written Reprimand

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
32	While investigating an armed robbery, the officer fired four rounds at a vehicle. It was shown the officer had a legitimate fear for his safety when he considered using deadly force, however by the time he fired the shots, the threat had already passed as the vehicle was departing.  Default: Discreditable Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	Additional Training Advice as to Future Conduct
33	The officer had used unnecessary and excessive force against a prisoner in an interview room causing a cut to his forehead and chipping a tooth.  Default: Abuse of Authority	2-day Suspension without Pay
34	An officer had disclosed confidential police information regarding the complainant.  Default: Improper Disclosure of Information	<ul> <li>Managerial Advice</li> <li>Letter of apology</li> <li>Review the CPIC Manual Code of Ethics</li> <li>Review the CPIC Manual - Section 7 re         Confidentiality and Dissemination of Information     </li> <li>Review departmental operations bulletin re CPIC         Warning Message     </li> </ul>
35	The officer failed to record the circumstances of an arrest in his notebook, contravening the department's policy.  Default: Discreditable Conduct	Written Reprimand
36	While driving his vehicle off-duty the officer became enraged at the actions of a pedestrian, stopped his vehicle and assaulted the man. (Pled guilty to assault – Conditional discharge & 9 months probation)  Default: Improper Off-Duty Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	Written Reprimand

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
37	The officer failed to attend a noise complaint, wrote a false report indicating that he had attended and lied to the investigator when confronted with evidence that he falsified a report.  Defaults: Neglect of Duty Deceit (x2)	<ul> <li>3 day Suspension without pay (re Neglect of Duty)</li> <li>Reduction in Rank from a 1<sup>st</sup> Class Constable to 2<sup>nd</sup> Class (re Deceit – falsified report)</li> <li>Work under Close Supervision for a period of 6 months (re Deceit – false statement to investigator)</li> </ul>
38	The officer's conduct was rude towards the Complainant.  Default: Abuse of Authority	Managerial Direction
39	During a search of a residence for weapons, the officers located a small amount of cannabis. The officer failed to seize the cannabis.  Default: Neglect of Duty	Managerial Direction
40	The officer drove his police car at speeds of 110-120 km/h and was involved in a motor vehicle accident with another police vehicle causing extensive damage.  Default: Damage to Police Property  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	1-day Suspension without pay
41	The officer failed to properly process and document the handling of found property turned into the department.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul><li>Managerial Direction</li><li>Additional training</li></ul>
42	The officer made inappropriate comments to a fellow employee.  Internal Discipline	<ul><li>Verbal Reprimand</li><li>Additional training</li></ul>

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
43	The officer struck a prisoner while in his cell. Following the use of pepper spray against the prisoner, the officer failed to provide required medical treatment and failed to adequately report the use of the pepper spray.  Defaults: Abuse of Authority	<ul> <li>3 day Suspension without pay (re Abuse of Authority)</li> <li>1 day Suspension without pay (re Failure to provide medical treatment – Discreditable Conduct)</li> <li>1 day Suspension without pay (re Neglect of Duty)</li> </ul>
44	The officers had failed to use the appropriate restraints when a male was taken into custody; they did not complete the investigation nor conduct sufficient follow up investigation into the male's court ordered breaches, and they failed to takes steps to ensure that the male did not continue to be a danger to the public if and when he was released from the hospital.  It was further found that one of the officers made a false, misleading and inaccurate statement in the Report to Crown Counsel.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul> <li>✓ Officers 1 &amp; 2 re Neglect of Duty – 2 Day suspension without pay</li> <li>✓ Officer 1 re Deceit – 1 Day suspension without pay</li> </ul>
45	The officer, while on duty, had sent an inappropriate email to a civilian employee.  Default: Discreditable Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul> <li>3-day suspension without pay</li> <li>Direction to undertaken professional counseling</li> <li>Letter of apology</li> </ul>
46	The officer had failed to deal with seized property (a rifle) in a secured and appropriate manner.  Default: Discreditable Conduct	No disciplinary or corrective measures warranted
47	While booking the Complainant into cells and while the Complainant was handcuffed, the officer took the Complainant down to the floor where he struck his head on the concrete floor. The Complainant suffered a serious head injury during the takedown.  Default: Abuse of Authority	3-day suspension without pay Direction to undertake retraining on 'takedowns'  For further details, please refer to the Reasons of Adjudicator Hutchison – Parts 1 & 2, found under "Public Hearings", No. 2008-01

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
48	The officer was simultaneously receiving payments for long term disability and regular pay by the department. Crown Counsel reviewed possible charges and felt that it was not in the public interest to proceed with criminal charges.  Default: Deceit  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	
49	The officer failed to undertake the investigation into a sexual assault in a timely fashion and did not conduct a number of important investigative avenues, possibly leading to a further victimization of the complainant in the sexual assault matter.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	<ul> <li>Written reprimand</li> <li>Reassigned duties for a period of 2 years</li> <li>Work under close supervision</li> </ul>
50	The officer failed to follow departmental policy regarding the proper handling of seized property, resulting in the loss of the Complainant's cell phone.  Default: Neglect of Duty	<ul> <li>Managerial Advice</li> <li>Complainant reimbursed for cost of new cell phone</li> </ul>
51	The officer failed to adhere to departmental policies as they relate to vehicle pursuits.  Default: Discreditable Conduct	<ul> <li>Written reprimand</li> <li>Remedial training and direction to review departmental policies</li> </ul>
52	The officer had conducted unauthorized searches on police databases (CPIC).  Default: Discreditable Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	✓ Verbal Reprimand
53	The officer used excessive force to subdue and detain the Complainant.  Default: Abuse of Authority	<ul> <li>Verbal Reprimand</li> <li>Direction to attend training sessions re Force</li> <li>Options, including Tactical Communication, Use of Force &amp; Risk Management</li> </ul>

#	Substantiated Allegation	Co	rrective/Disciplinary Measures
54	The officer failed to follow departmental policy regarding the proper handling of seized property, resulting in the loss of the Complainant's knife.  Default: Neglect of Duty	Manage	rial Advice
55	The officer failed to submit a Report to Crown Counsel in an acceptable period of time. The file was regarding a shoplifting charge.  Internal Discipline	Manage	rial Advice
56	The officer failed to attend for duty relating to a Special Duty Assignment.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Investigation initiated by Department	Manage	rial Advice
57	The officer's personal and police identification were left in the officer's vehicle and subsequently stolen. Both were later recovered.  Default: Damage to Police Property  Investigation initiated by Department	Manage	rial Advice
58	While off-duty, the officer allegedly committed a sexual assault. Criminal charges approved and the matter is currently before the court.  Default: Improper Off-Duty Conduct  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department		cer resigned prior to the completion of ce Act investigation.
59	On February 21, 2009 at approximately 0320 hrs, The officer (a BAC Datamaster C supervisor) performed an External Alcohol Standard Change for BAC Datamaster, but did not follow proper procedure for performing this test. This error directly impacted ten criminal court cases, three of which were fatally impacted and resulted in stays of proceedings.  Default: Neglect of Duty  Investigation initiated by Department	Verbal F	Reprimand

#	Substantiated Allegation	Corrective/Disciplinary Measures
60	The officer's locker, in which the officer's firearm, magazine and ammunition were stored, was not properly secured.	<ul> <li>Managerial Advice</li> </ul>
	Default: Improper Care & Use of Firearm  Investigation initiated by Department	
61	The officer failed to attend for a Special Duty Assignment.	Managerial Advice
	Default: Neglect of Duty Investigation initiated by Department	
62	The officer failed to comply with departmental policy regarding the handling of seized property.	<ul><li>Reassignment/transfer</li><li>Direction to undertake re-training</li><li>Written Reprimand</li></ul>
	Default: Neglect of Duty  Ordered Investigation at the request of the Department	:
63	Two senior officers neglected their duty during the internal investigation of another member.	Both officers resigned prior to the commencement of a Public Hearing
	Defaults: Deceit  Neglect of Duty  Improper Disclosure of Information	For further details, please refer to the PCC's Reasons (February 12, 2009).
	Ordered External Investigation	
64	The officer involved failed to attend a scheduled Provincial Court date.	Managerial Advice
	Default: Neglect of Duty	
	Investigation initiated by Department	