

IN THE MATTER OF THE *POLICE ACT*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 367
AND
IN THE MATTER OF A REVIEW OF
ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT
AGAINST

[REDACTED]
OF THE [REDACTED] POLICE DEPARTMENT
CORRIGENDUM

TO: [REDACTED]
c/o [REDACTED] Police Department

AND TO: [REDACTED]
c/o [REDACTED] Police Department
Professional Standards Section

AND TO: [REDACTED]
c/o [REDACTED] Police Department
Discipline Authority

AND TO: [REDACTED]
c/o [REDACTED] Police Department
Investigator

AND TO: [REDACTED]
Complainant

AND TO: Mr. Stan Lowe, Police Complaint Commissioner

[1] The Notice of Decision dated April 13, 2016 is amended for the purpose of ensuring that [REDACTED] may be offered a pre-hearing conference.

[2] Paragraph [34] is amended by deleting subparagraph (d) a. and renumbering paragraph (d) b. as subparagraph [34](d)a.

[3] Paragraph [34] is therefore revised to read as follows:

[34] As required by s. 117(8) of the *Police Act*, I hereby provide notice to [REDACTED] as follows:

- (a) For the reasons set forth herein, the evidence appears sufficient to substantiate the allegation that [REDACTED] abused [REDACTED] authority when [REDACTED] entered the [REDACTED] residence without lawful authority; when [REDACTED] pushed [REDACTED] to the couch; and when [REDACTED] arrested [REDACTED] without lawful excuse;
- (b) A prehearing conference will be offered to [REDACTED]
- (c) [REDACTED] has the right pursuant to s. 119 to request permission to call, examine or cross-examine witnesses at the discipline proceeding, provided such request is submitted in writing within 10 business days following receipt of this notice of decision.
- (d) The range of disciplinary or corrective measures being considered includes:
 - a. Suspension without pay for not more than 30 scheduled working days.

Dated at Vancouver, British Columbia the 14th day of April, 2016.

“Ian H. Pitfield”

Hon. Ian H. Pitfield