

Office of the Police Complaint Commissioner

British Columbia, Canada

Notice of Appointment of Adjudicator (Pursuant to s.117(4) of the *Police Act*)

Date: June 11, 2013 OPCC File No. 2012-8135 DA File No. "E" Div.

TO:			
	Vancouver Police Department	(Members	s)
AND TO:	"E" Division – Royal Canadian Mounted Police	(External Investigating Agency	y)
AND TO:	New Westminster Police Department	(Previous Discipline Authority	y)
AND TO:	Chief Constable Jim Chu, Vancouver Police Department	(Chief Constable	∋)
AND TO:	Honourable Provincial Court Judge Dennis Overen	d (Rtd.) (Adjudicator	r)
	9, 2013, Sergeant and Sergeant and Sergeant are rofessional Standards Section submitted their Final Interpretation and Sergeant are are also and Sergeant are are identified the following	Authority in this matter.	
<u>Count 1 – </u>	Abuse of Authority		
miscono	ged that and and and all and and all all all all all all all all all al		

Stan T. Lowe, Police Complaint Commissioner

3rd Floor, 756 Fort Street, PO Box 9895, Stn Prov Govt Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 9T8 Tel: (250) 356-7458 / Fax: (250) 356-6503

Count 2 – Abuse of Authority

It is alleged that and and committed the misconduct of Abuse of Authority as per s. 77(3)(a)(ii)(A) of the *Police Act*, using unnecessary force on any person.

Count 3 – Abuse of Authority

It is alleged that and an an amount of committed the misconduct of Abuse of Authority as per s. 77(3)(a)(ii)(B) of the *Police Act*, detaining or searching any person without good and sufficient cause.

Sergeant and and	d Sergeant reco	ommended that the allegations against ted.
On May 13, 2013,		issued his decision pursuant to section 112 in
this matter. Specifi	cally,	`unsubstantiated' the allegations against
an	d in (Count #1, Count #2, and Count #3.

Pursuant to Section 117(1) of the *Police Act*, having reviewed the allegations individually, and the alleged conduct in its entirety, there is a reasonable basis to believe that the decisions of the Discipline Authority with respect to Count #1, Count #2, and Count #3 are incorrect.

Therefore, pursuant to Section 117(4) of the *Police Act,* and based on a recommendation from the Associate Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia, I am appointing Honourable Provincial Court Judge Dennis Overend (Rtd.) as Adjudicator to review this matter and arrive at his own decision based on the evidence.

Pursuant to Section 117(9) if the Adjudicator determines that the conduct in question appears to constitute misconduct, they assume the powers and perform the duties of Discipline Authority with respect to any allegations that they substantiate. In addition, if a service record of discipline exists the Office of the Police Complaint Commissioner will provide that record to the new Discipline Authority to assist them in proposing an appropriate range of disciplinary or corrective measures should a pre-hearing conference be offered or a disciplinary proceeding convened. If the retired judge as adjudicator determines the conduct in question does not constitute misconduct, they must provide reasons and the decision is final and conclusive.

Finally, the *Police Act* requires that an Adjudicator arrive at a decision **within 10 business days after receipt of the materials** for review from our office. This is a relatively short time line so our office will not forward any materials to the adjudicator until they are prepared to receive the materials. I anticipate this will be within the next 10 business days.

Stan T. Lowe

Police Complaint Commissioner

cc: Registrar CPCC analyst

cc: Sgt. PSS Investigator cc: Sgt. PSS Investigator