

March 22, 2019

IN THE MATTER OF THE *POLICE ACT*, R.S.B.C. 1996 C. 367

AND

IN THE MATTER OF A REVIEW OF AN ALLEGATION OF MISCONDUCT
AGAINST [REDACTED] OF THE VANCOUVER POLICE
DEPARTMENT

AND

IN THE MATTER OF A REVIEW OF AN ALLEGATION OF MISCONDUCT
AGAINST [REDACTED] OF THE VANCOUVER POLICE
DEPARTMENT

CORRIGENDUM TO NOTICE OF ADJUDICATOR'S DECISION

TO: [REDACTED] Complainant

AND TO: [REDACTED] Member
c/o Vancouver Police Department
Professional Standards Section

AND TO: [REDACTED] Member
c/o Vancouver Police Department
Professional Standards Section

AND TO: [REDACTED] Investigating officer
c/o Delta Police Department
Professional Standards Section

AND TO: Mr. Clayton Pecknold Acting Police Complaint Commissioner

1. On March 19, 2019 I provided reasons for decision in this matter. I identified [REDACTED] as Complainant and Mr. Clayton Pecknold as Acting Police Complaint Commissioner.
2. I am now advised by the Office of the Police Complaint Commission that although [REDACTED] did file a complaint with the Commission she is not considered a complainant under the Police Act. The Commissioner ordered an investigation into this matter under section 93 of the Act. I am advised [REDACTED] was afforded informational rights pursuant to section 93(9) of the Act being a person who has a direct interest in the matter; however, because [REDACTED] is not a complainant she does not have submission rights pursuant to section 113 of the Police Act.
3. I therefore amend page 1 of the decision as follows:
 - a) delete the words
TO: [REDACTED] Complainant
 - b) delete the word
Acting
4. I therefore amend paragraph 3 of the decision as follows:
 - a) delete the words
The Police Complaint Commissioner determined the complaint was admissible.
5. I therefore amend paragraph 33 as follows:
 - a) delete the words
The Complainant
 - b) delete the words
b. The Complainant has the right pursuant to section 113

of the Police Act to make submissions at the discipline proceeding.

6. I also note that the final paragraph of the decision should be numbered paragraph 34 rather than paragraph 32 as it presently reads.

David Pendleton
Adjudicator
March 22, 2019